Salvation in the O.T., N.T.

Divinely Established in an Unchangeable Manner in Genesis

On the salvation issue in Scripture, first of all it would probably be best to forget the two divisions of Scripture as man has designated them (O.T. & N.T.) and see the whole of Scripture as one continuous book. “Testament” is simply a synonym for, another way of saying, “covenant” (Heb. Berith [translated almost exclusively “covenant” in the O.T., KJV]; Gk. diatheke [translated both “testament” and “covenant” in the N.T., KJV]), and Scripture is not a covenant per se. Rather, the whole of Scripture is a revelation from God to man.

Thus, Scripture is one thing, covenants are another. Covenants, rather than being used to refer to Scripture (O.T., N.T. [i.e., Old Covenant, New Covenant]), form a vital part of Scripture and are among the subjects that can be found in Scripture.

(Scripture is often referred to different ways in various passages — e.g., in Isa. 8:20, the whole of Scripture [O.T., though there is nothing in the New that is not in the Old] is referenced two different ways [“Law” and “Testimony”]; or, in Luke 24:27, the whole of Scripture is referenced through “Moses and all the Prophets. Then, note the same thing through the reference to Moses [Law] and Elijah [Prophets] in Mal. 4:4, 5. And since “the Law” is the Old Covenant, there could be some precedent for calling the section of Scripture from Genesis to Malachi “The Old Testament.” But a similar precedent would not exist for calling the remainder of Scripture “The New Testament.”)

Aside from the preceding, beyond Genesis chapter twelve, covenants are made with Israel (Rom 9:4). No covenant has been made or ever will be made with the Church. Thus, to see that part of Scripture beginning with Matthew’s gospel called “The New Testament [‘The New Covenant’],” with numerous books dealing directly with the Church, appears rather strange.
And this would appear strange even more so since the New Covenant is yet to be made with the house of Israel.

The Old Covenant (Mosaic, inseparably associated with the Abrahamic) was made with Israel; and the New Covenant, one day replacing the Old, will be made with Israel.

**BOTH have to do with the theocracy, as do ALL covenants made or to be made with Israel (Davidic, Palestinian, New).**

None of the covenants have anything to do with eternal salvation. **ALL since Abraham’s day were made with a people already saved, or will be saved when the New Covenant replaces the Old. ALL were made/will be made with the people comprising the only nation with a God.**

And, in reality, **ALL could be classed as “The Magna Charta for the Kingdom,” with the Mosaic, and evidently the New, since it will replace the Old, having to do with the rules and regulations governing the people of God in the theocracy.**

**Creations, Sonship**

NOR do “creations” — the Adamic (Gen. 1:26-28), the old creation in Jacob (new at the time [Isa. 43:1]), or the new creation in Christ (II Cor. 5:17) — have anything to do with salvation. **NOR does “sonship” have anything to do with salvation.**

*(Sonship has to do with “creation.” Adam was God’s son because of “creation” [Luke 3:38]. This status did not change following the fall. Israel is God’s son because of “creation” [God’s firstborn son because of a subsequent adoption] and remains God’s son today [God’s firstborn son], even though in an unsaved state.)*

And Christians are God’s sons because of “creation” as well [new creations “in Christ,” still separate from salvation (nothing about death and shed blood in “creation” itself), though occurring at the same time, with “creation” occurring because of an individual AVAILING HIMSELF of that made possible through Christ’s death and shed blood].

To further illustrate the point in relation to salvation, note that all angels are God’s sons because of their individual “creation,” and that position remained unchanged in relation to Satan and his angels following their fall [Gen. 6:2]. All angels remain God’s sons today — fallen or unfallen, for all are “created” beings.)
In the first two creations (Jew and Gentile), a person cannot move from one creation to the other. That is, a Gentile cannot become a Jew (he can do no more than become a Jewish proselyte); nor, in the same respect, can a Jew become a Gentile. And the reason for the preceding is simple: Both have to do with the physical man. That which is physical simply cannot be changed.

The third creation, of course, is formed from the first two. A Jew or a Gentile becomes a new creation in Christ through “belief.” And a person can move from one creation to the other in this respect because the spiritual man rather than the physical man is involved.

Believing Jews or believing Gentiles remain in their respective creations physically (that cannot change), but both become new creations “in Christ” — Christians — a spiritual rather than a physical change.

As well, since the physical is involved with Jews and Gentiles, their respective creations can be passed from father to son through procreation.

But, such cannot occur at all for Christians, for the spiritual is involved — a realm where man cannot operate, a realm which has nothing to do with natural procreation.

**Salvation in One Book**

Now, with all of that in mind, let’s look at the salvation issue in one Book, not two Testaments, for the salvation issue NEVER changes throughout Scripture.

The whole of the matter is set forth and established in an unchangeable manner in the opening chapters of Genesis. The manner in which God would restore ruined man, a ruined creation, was set forth at this opening point in Scripture, where God restored the ruined material creation; and no change can ever occur, for the matter was established perfect in the beginning.

The first thing we read relative to the restoration of a ruined creation is: The Spirit of God moved, God spoke, light came into existence, and God formed a division between the newly existing light and the remaining darkness (Gen. 1:2b-5):
“And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters. And God said, Let there be light: and there was light. And God saw the light, that it was good: and God divided the light from the darkness. And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day.”

And the remaining darkness would reveal that God had not finished with His restoration work at the end of day one (cf. Rev. 21:22-25). Five more days of work remained, completing six days, with a view to the seventh day).

Thus, in relation to fallen man (a subsequent ruined creation, in need of restoration), the Divine work seen on day one can only foreshadow God’s initial work pertaining to man, having to do with his eternal salvation (a beginning work to restore the ruined creation). And the Divine work seen beyond this, seen in days two through six, can only foreshadow God’s continued dealings with saved man (a continued work to restore the ruined creation). And the Divine work seen throughout all six days is with a view to that foreshadowed by the seventh day, which Scripture later reveals is related to the salvation or loss of the soul, the life, of a man who has passed from death unto life.

But, let’s stay mainly with the work on day one. Note something though in passing. Once God had finished with His work on the first day, He DIDN’T go back and re-deal with anything from this day. Rather HE BEGAN to deal with that remaining, as it pertained to THE COMPLETE RESTORATION of the ruined creation seen in that foreshadowed by God’s work during the subsequent five days.

Thus, exactly as in the type, God DOES NOT go back and re-deal with saved man today RELATIVE TO anything having occurred in his passing from death unto life. Rather, He NOW deals with man ON THE BASIS OF, NOT RELATIVE TO his now having life, with light shining out of darkness (Gen. 1:3, 4; John 1:4, 5; 5:24; II Cor. 4:6), with a view to the seventh day.

Now, moving from this initial information to Genesis chapters three and four, we see several things pertaining to that initially occurring on day one in Gen. 1:2b-5. Chapter three relates man’s fall, resulting in a subsequent ruined creation. And how does God
restore a ruined creation? The answer, of course, along with the purpose for restoration — all as previously dealt with — is seen back in the opening thirty-four verses of Genesis.

Then, additional information relative to the matter at hand begins to be revealed in chapter three.

In this chapter, a man (Adam, typifying Christ 4,000 years later) partook of the forbidden fruit of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, bringing about the fall. But, remaining in complete conformity to Christ’s work at Calvary, Adam, who had not been deceived (I Tim. 2:14), partook of the fruit from the tree willingly and for a purpose.

In effect, once Eve had partaken of the forbidden fruit, Adam was left without a choice. He found his bride in a fallen state (though the fall didn’t actually occur in all its completeness until Adam, as the federal head, ate of the fruit himself).

But the only way Adam could effect Eve’s redemption, with a view to both one day partaking of the tree of life together and realizing the purpose for their very existence (Adam partaking of the tree as a complete being, which necessitated Eve’s presence with him), was to partake of the forbidden fruit himself, which he did. And this, as previously stated, was with a view to redemption.

Note how this is clearly seen in the antitype:

Christ, in complete conformity to the type, found His bride in a fallen state. And He Who knew no sin became sin (II Cor. 5:21). And this was with a view to redemption, in order that both Christ and His bride might one day partake of the tree of life together and realize the purpose for man’s existence (Christ partaking of the tree as a complete being, which will necessitate His bride — bone of His bones, and flesh of His flesh (cf. Gen. 2:23; Eph. 5:30) — being present with Him.

Then a clear inference to death and shed blood is introduced later in the chapter through God clothing Adam and Eve with animal skins (they had lost the covering of Glory at the time of the fall).

(Note again that man at this point is not placed completely back into the position which he had occupied before the fall [a restoration of the covering of Glory, which, in subsequent Scripture, is seen restored only on the seventh day].
There was a restoration of the Glory, after a fashion, during Moses’ day at Mt. Sinai when the Glory filled the tabernacle [Ex. 40:1ff]. And this may be what is being referenced, or at least is in view, in Rom. 5:14 — “Nevertheless death reigned from Adam to Moses...” — but man being brought completely back into the position from which he fell will require a restoration of the Glory after the same fashion seen prior to Adam’s fall. Such a restoration will require man to, once again, be enswathed in Glory.

Then in chapter four, more information is added. Man, seen acting in the previous chapter, would be the one to die and shed his blood (Cain slaying Abel, Israel slaying Christ). And putting all of this together from these four chapters, the complete salvation picture is seen, a picture which NEVER changes.

Eternal salvation is A DIVINE WORK, performed by a Man (Who has to be God), with DEATH and SHED BLOOD involved. ALL fallen man can do is simply RECEIVE that which has been done on his behalf (through BELIEVING in the One Who died and shed His blood).

NOTHING MORE can enter into the matter.

And note again that once man has passed from death unto life, God’s dealings with man then move to that which lies out ahead. God NEVER AGAIN deals with man relative to the salvation which he presently possesses.

In that respect, note the absurdity of saying that a saved man can lose his salvation. How could he lose something which he had nothing to do with obtaining, particularly since God is no longer dealing with him relative to the matter?

Now, a lot of time has been spent on this overall salvation issue from the first four chapters of Genesis for the simple reason that these foundational truths pertaining to salvation are needed to understand the subject at hand — salvation as seen later in Scripture, particularly as it is seen in the camp of Israel on both sides of Calvary.

(Note the importance of beginning where God began and studying Scripture after the manner in which God structured His Word.)
Understand how the subject is set forth in its foundational respect at the beginning of Scripture and you will know how to handle the same subject matter when it appears later in Scripture, though perhaps dealt with from different perspectives at times.

But fail to understand the subject in this foundational respect…)

Events in Genesis chapter twenty-two or chapter thirty-seven further illustrate and provide additional information for that seen in the opening four chapters of Genesis, but let’s move on to Exodus chapter twelve.

In this chapter we have that previously illustrated from several types in Genesis brought together in the institution of the Passover. A lamb from the flock was to die in a vicarious manner in the stead of the firstborn in the family. And a lamb dying in this manner, with the blood caught and properly applied — in line with the way that the sacrifices or other types were seen back in Genesis — pointed to the Paschal Lamb dying at Calvary, shedding His blood.

Now, a question:

In relation to man’s eternal salvation, did God recognize death and shed blood in all these sacrifices throughout man’s 4,000-year history preceding the events of Calvary?

Certainly He did! After all, He is the One Who instituted these sacrifices, with man [God Himself in Gen. 3] only carrying out that which God had previously instituted.

All of these sacrifices were inseparably associated with the One actually slain before man even fell, or before one sacrifice was ever even offered. Christ was “slain from the foundation of the world” (Rev. 13:8), which takes matters back to the beginning of the restoration of the ruined earth, preceding man’s creation, in Gen. 1:2b. In reality, all of the O.T. sacrifices foreshadowed an event which God looked upon as having already occurred (Eccl. 3:14, 15; Isa. 48:3ff).

At the time Christ was here on earth, the Jewish people were still sacrificing the Passover lamb. In this respect, with death and shed blood involved — the death of the firstborn involved — the Jewish people at Christ’s first coming could ONLY have been just as saved as the Israelites during Moses’ day or any others who had availed
themselves of God's provision during succeeding years or during the preceding 2,500 years of human history.

Or, we can move matters to anytime during the 2,000 years this side of Calvary and say that saved individuals throughout this time were/are no more or no less saved than individuals who were saved throughout the 4,000-year history of the human race preceding Calvary. It matters not where you view salvation by grace — during Adam's day immediately following the fall, or today 6,000 years later — it’s THE SAME, by DEATH and SHED BLOOD.

Again, Jews in view at Christ's first coming would have been individuals who were having a part in the sacrifice of the paschal lambs year after year (which could only have been the nation at large, else Christ could NOT have come to this nation and dealt with them relative to spiritual values, offering to the Jewish nation the kingdom of the heavens).

Salvation on Both Sides of Calvary

Now let's look at both sides of Calvary and the re-offer of the kingdom of the heavens to Israel after Christ's ascension. Again, bear in mind that which is stated in Rev. 13:8.

(But, note something about events in John chapter eight first. In this chapter, Christ, during His earthly ministry, referred to Jews who had believed in Him [v. 31] as being “of your father the devil” [v. 44].

Don’t try to read saved-unsaved issues into this chapter. Christ was dealing with saved Jews being brought forth from below rather than from above, doing the work of Satan rather than the work of God.

The issue in this chapter, as the central issue in the book as a whole, is not eternal salvation. Rather, the issue has to do with the message being proclaimed to Israel at that time, which pertained to the kingdom.

[Note the same thing relative to Christians in I John. Reference to a bringing forth from above rather than from below appears ten times in this book. Understand that this expression is used of Christians [those to whom the book has been written], NOT the unsaved, and you will have FAR, FAR less trouble with I John.

In fact, correctly understanding how this expression is used in I John, as in John’s gospel, will open the book to one’s understanding].)
Question: Jews rejecting Christ, responsible for the events of Calvary, were they saved or unsaved?

Then another question: If saved — which they, of course, were — did that status change once the Paschal Lamb had died, with God then no longer recognizing animal sacrifices as before, nullifying their salvation?

How could a status in their salvation possibly change? God had previously ESTABLISHED and RECOGNIZED animal sacrifices in this respect; and, according to the original type in the opening two chapters of Genesis, or any other type, once a man had passed from death unto life, God NEVER dealt with the person on that basis again. ALL of God’s dealings with the person, beyond availing himself of the blood sacrifice, were NOW focused on that which lay ahead, NEVER on that lying behind.

Had not the status of these Jews remained the same (i.e., just as saved following Calvary as they had been before Calvary), there could have been no re-offer of the kingdom to Israel, as seen throughout the Book of Acts. And had God continued to recognize animal sacrifices beyond Calvary, the re-offer of the kingdom could conceivably have continued indefinitely (as long as Israel remained in a position to and continued to sacrifice the paschal lambs year after year).

But, following Calvary, God recognized only the sacrifice of the Paschal Lamb, not sacrifices of paschal lambs as before. And, on the basis of Rev. 13:8, one could only say that God, in reality, had recognized ONLY the sacrifice of the Paschal Lamb since before man fell, which was seen and foreshadowed in all the paschal lambs slain up to Calvary.

Thus, the re-offer of the kingdom could remain open as long as a saved generation of Jews remained on the scene (not Christians, but saved Jews [individuals still rejecting the One Who had presented Himself to them in a regal respect]). But once this generation had passed off the scene, there could be no continued re-offer of the kingdom.

The preceding is why the re-offer, of necessity, ceased after some thirty years (from 33 AD to about 62 AD). The saved generation of Jews was rapidly passing off the scene, leaving unsaved Jews to replace them. And that having spiritual values could not have been offered to individuals separated from spiritual values.
And, aside from the preceding, a new entity had been brought into existence to be the recipient of that which Israel had rejected.

(By way of summation, note the Jews saved throughout the four gospels, on the day of Pentecost in Acts 2, or Jews saved throughout Acts, including Paul saved enroute to Damascus. ALL should be thought of in the sense of the conversion of individuals already saved, with a view to the proffered kingdom, i.e., saving the saved [like present aspects of salvation, with a view to the future kingdom, seen in the lives of Christians today].

The preceding is evident from that seen throughout the ministries of John, Jesus, the Twelve, the Seventy, and Peter’s message and response to a question in Acts 2:14-39 on the day of Pentecost, setting the stage for that seen throughout the Book of Acts.

And, in this respect, moving beyond Acts 2, it would be absurd to think of Paul, a zealous Pharisee, not having previously availed himself of God’s sacrificial provision — i.e., not having previously observed the Passover [with Paul being counted among those saved in the same respect as all Jews, “from this untoward generation” (Acts 2:40)].

Then, note a few related, companion thoughts on the whole matter that has been discussed throughout this chapter.

It is commonly believed and taught in Christendom that the O.T. saints were saved through believing God [e.g., Abraham in Gen. 15:6; cf. Rom. 4:3]. But, if so, since there was NO death and shed blood, ONLY belief, this would be CONTRARY TO the foundational types, set forth particularly in the opening four chapters of Genesis [cf. Heb. 9:22 (see next section)].

And, as well, this would be equally CONTRARY TO all subsequent types [which MUST follow the foundational types] or anything else in Scripture dealing with the subject [e.g., Israel’s seven festivals in Lev. 23, beginning with the Passover, beginning with death and shed blood (cf. Ex. 12)].

And the same thing can be seen at Christ’s first coming. If Christ came to an unsaved Jewish nation and Jews could be saved by believing on Him [or the Samaritans in John 4], WHERE was the death and shed blood? Christ had yet to die and shed His blood, allowing belief of this nature.

It is true that Christ was “slain from the foundation of the world” [Rev. 13:8], but God still required/requires death and shed blood, BOTH past and present [present, of course, through the past, finished work of Christ at Calvary].

Thus, note the importance of understanding and ALWAYS remaining with the overall, unchangeable, God-established foundations from Genesis. One either goes right or goes wrong AT THIS POINT!)
“Without Shedding of blood…”

“And almost all things are by the law purged with blood; and without shedding of blood is no remission” (Heb. 9:22).

God rejects first things: “He taketh away the first, that he may establish the second” (Heb. 10:9).

God rejected the first earth (the present earth, to be replaced by a new earth), the earth’s first ruler (Satan, to be replace by another), the first man (Adam, to be replaced by the second Man, Christ), etc.

God, rejecting the first man, who sinned, has rejected the first birth. And the firstborn, being rejected, MUST DIE, for “the wages of sin is death” (Rom. 6:23).

But, on the other hand, the individual MUST live, NOT die, to one day realize the purpose for God creating man in the beginning, else Rom. 11:29 — “For the gifts and calling of God are without repentance [‘without a change of mind’]” — COULD NOT remain true.

And this is where death and shed blood enter into the picture, something which MUST be seen in man’s complete salvation (both past and present, with a view to the future), from the time Adam sinned in Eden to that future time beyond the Millennium, in the eternal ages, when sin and death have been done away with.

UNTIL THEN, death and shed blood MUST be seen throughout.

And the foundational word picture which God provided, both preceding and following man’s sin — pertaining to the beginning, foundational aspect of the matter, the past aspect of salvation — is seen in Gen. 1:2b-5; 3:21, followed by additional information in Gen. 4, 22, 37. Then, all of this is put together in the death of the firstborn in Ex. 12 (both personal and national), where the birth of a nation descending from Abraham through Isaac and Jacob occurred.

The firstborn had to die, yet the individual (and nation) had to still live. There was NO ALTERNATE, and there was NO OTHER WAY that this could be accomplished aside from THE ONE WAY which God unchangeably established in the beginning.

The firstborn could EITHER die a substitutionary death (Another paying the penalty on his behalf, with God recognizing death and shed blood in this respect) OR the firstborn could pay the penalty himself. There was/is NO alternate to the preceding!
And this can be clearly seen the night of the Passover in Egypt in Ex. 12. When the Lord passed through the land of Egypt at midnight, He looked for **ONE THING and ONE THING ONLY.** He looked for **THE BLOOD** of a slain lamb, properly applied to the door posts and lintel of each house.

Seeing **THE BLOOD**, the Lord knew that **DEATH had already occurred in that house.** The firstborn had **DIED**, vicariously; a lamb from the flock had died in his stead, God recognized this vicarious death which He had previously established, God was satisfied, and He passed over that house.

However, if the Lord saw no blood, then the firstborn in that house had to pay the penalty himself, for **the firstborn MUST DIE!** The firstborn then died apart from a substitute, for God has rejected the firstborn, and the wages of sin is **death**.

Now let’s look at all of this in the light of several things which have previously been dealt with to an extent but will now be dealt with in a different or fuller respect.

1) **Death and Shed Blood, Abraham’s Salvation**

Abraham believing God in Gen. 15:6 ("And he believed in the Lord; and he counted it to him for righteousness") is quoted by Paul in Rom. 4:3 ("Abraham believed God, and it was counted unto him for righteousness" [ref. Gal. 3:6 and James 2:23 where Gen. 15:6 is also quoted]). And Abraham believing God at this time — a number of years after he had entered the land of Canaan — is often seen as **the time that Abraham was saved.**

But, how can anyone come to such a conclusion concerning Abraham’s salvation, though many do? After all, some years prior to this time Abraham had left Ur "by faith," believing God. And during the intervening years up to and following Gen. 15:6 Abraham continued exercising faith, continued believing God (Heb. 11:8, 9).

Decades later, Abraham, by faith, believing God, offered his son, on a particular Mount which the Lord had chosen (Gen. 22). And note how Abraham’s actions and the outcome are worded in James 2:23 (cf. vv. 21, 22), with reference made back to and quoting Gen. 15:6 — "And the scripture was fulfilled which saith, Abraham believed God, and it was imputed unto him for righteousness..."
Genesis 15:6 is set in a section having to do with Abraham believing God relative to a promised seed and the promised land in the Abrahamic Covenant. The structure of the verb “believe” in the Hebrew text of this verse shows that Abraham’s faith — EXACTLY THE SAME FAITH spoken of here — PRECEDED this time, NOT began here. Abraham made a previously existing faith KNOWN after the fashion stated.

Also, death and shed blood are seen in connection with the verses in both Genesis and James, but NOT in connection with Abraham.

In Genesis, death and shed blood have to do with the covenant concerning the land grant (15:7-21).

Then, in James, death and shed blood have to do with a substitute sacrifice for Abraham’s son (2:21-23 [cf. Gen. 22:10-13]).

Abraham’s salvation, which many look for in Gen. 15:6, is NOT dealt with in Scripture. It could only have occurred in Ur, prior to his departure, else he could not have gone out by or continued to exercise “faith,” in the manner seen.

And that would reflect on a truth concerning the structure of Scripture which often goes unrecognized. Salvation by grace is dealt with very sparingly in Scripture (sometimes, as in Abraham’s case, Scripture picks up beyond salvation by grace). The emphasis is ALWAYS on present aspects of salvation, with a view to the future.

This is the manner in which the complete panorama of salvation (past, present, and future) is set forth in the first thirty four verses of Genesis — one day for the past aspect of salvation, five subsequent days for the present aspect of salvation, all with a view to the future aspect of salvation on the seventh day. And the remainder of Scripture follows the established pattern.

Man has turned the whole thing around, placing the emphasis, or often the totality of the matter, on salvation by grace.

And this whole overall thought on salvation by grace takes us to the next section, dealing with this same matter in the N.T.

2) Death and shed Blood, the Gospels

It is rather strange to see individuals begin the gospel accounts, particularly John’s gospel, seeing Christ coming to an unsaved Jewish nation and, through the proclamation of a message concerning the kingdom, offer to these people eternal salvation. That is about as
far removed from reality as one can possibly get in any semblance of correct Biblical interpretation as one moves from the O.T. over into the N.T. But, nonetheless, a message of this nature, or some semblance of this type message, is exactly what is not only widely taught in Christian circles today but widely accepted as well.

The only death and shed blood seen in the gospel accounts in this respect — prior to the events of Calvary near the close of each account — has to do with the Jewish sacrificial system, beginning with the slaying and application of the blood of the paschal lambs.

And God could ONLY have looked upon the death of paschal lambs, the application of the blood, and the death of the firstborn in the camp of Israel at this time EXACTLY as he had done during Moses’ day in Ex. 12.

Yet, completely contrary to the way matters had been laid out in the O.T., then carried over into the N.T., individuals see Christ and His disciples proclaiming a salvation message to individuals whom God could ONLY reckon as having ALREADY experienced the death of the firstborn — individuals who were ALREADY saved being told how they could be saved, through believing on the Paschal Lamb WHO HAD YET to die and shed His blood (allowing for a salvation message of this nature TO THEN be proclaimed, NOT proclaimed PRIOR to this time, particularly to the Jews).

All of this (things presently being proclaimed), from a Scriptural standpoint, makes little to no sense. But, nonetheless, that’s what is being believed and taught in much of today’s Christendom.

(For more complete information on the whole of the previous matter, refer to the author’s book, Message in the Gospels, Acts, Epistles.)

3) Present Aspects of Salvation, O.T., N.T.

As death and shed blood are seen throughout the past aspect of salvation, they are seen throughout the present aspect of salvation as well.

The O.T. priestly ministry in the Tabernacle/Temple, on behalf of the Jewish people, centered around death and shed blood; and Christ’s present ministry in the heavenly sanctuary, on behalf of Christians, centers around death and shed blood as well.

As long as sin, requiring death, exists, God’s requirement for death and shed blood will exist (cf. Ezek. 43:19ff). It will ONLY be during the eternal ages beyond the Millennium that sin and death will no longer exist, with God no longer requiring death and shed blood.